

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/980,442	03/21/2002	Marcel Garnier	Garnier-3	3607	
28581 75	590 04/30/2003				
DUANE MOI	RRIS LLP		EXAMINER		
	EROAD WEST, SUITE 100 NJ 08540-6604		KERNS, KEVIN P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1725		
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
:	09/980,442	GARNIER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kevin P. Kerns	1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a rewithin the statutory minimum of thirt vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this co					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims 4) M. Claim(a), 1.8 in/are pending in the application.							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1°and 4</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>rand 4</u> israte objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 March 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440(.) (.)) ()					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)	•						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/980,442 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37
 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

"Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if

the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1 and 4 are objected to because of the following informalities: in the 2nd line of claim 1, the symbols after "metal" and "mould" appear to be similar, such that one or both of these symbols should be deleted. In claim 1, 3rd line, as well as claim 4, 4th line, the term "it" should be changed to "the method" before "consists" for further clarity. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Regarding the equation in claim 2, description of what the symbol "i" represents is absent from the specification, such that one of ordinary skill in the art would be unable to apply the claimed process in view of the claim 2 equation.

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to claims 1 and 4, the language "current, respectively voltage" and "voltage, respectively the current" is unclear as to what limitations are actually being claimed. These limitations should be revised to distinctly set forth process steps.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kubota et al. (US 5,307,863).

Kubota et al. disclose a method and apparatus for continuous casting of a cast slab by means of a linearly shifting (sliding) magnetic field as an electromagnetic brake for flow control to achieve an average flow speed 27 (V), in which the apparatus further includes an immersion nozzle 8 with two exit ports 29 through which molten metal flows (abstract; column 3, lines 10-44; column 6, lines 58-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8,

Art Unit: 1725

lines 1-34; column 9, lines 40-48; column 10, lines 11-34; column 11, lines 27-53; column 16, lines 1-28; and Figures 3, 5, and 6). The apparatus contains magnetic field generators 18 (inductors) that would (inherently) be controlled in terms of voltage or current by control means at a central processing station (column 10, lines 11-34; and Figure 5). In addition, it is noted that the applicants' admitted prior art, in reference to Kubota et al. in EP 0 550 785 (analogous to US 5,307,863), states that the present invention does not modify the structure of conventional installations (applicants' admitted prior art; specification -- page 7, lines 13-17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 12. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Arf Unit: 1725

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

13. Claims 1-4 and 8 insofar as definite are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubota et al. (US 5,307,863).

Kubota et al. disclose the apparatus claim limitations set forth in claims 5-7 above. Although the measuring of the voltage and current to extract a flow speed (also in view of the claim 2 equation rejected under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph above) are not specifically disclosed by Kubota et al., Kubota et al. disclose that the inconvenience of not being able to measure the average flow speed is overcome by their method, such that the shifting speed of the linearly shifting magnetic field is controlled to effectively brake a flow speed of molten steel (column 8, lines 47-62). One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the parameters and equations set forth by Kubota et al., would enable measurement of dynamic flow speeds at regions within the continuous casting mold, as the apparatus contains molten metal sensors 14,17, a (servo) control device 16, and magnetic field generators 18 (inductors) that are controlled in terms of voltage, current, and frequency, and including known flow speeds, as set forth by a plurality of equations disclosed by Kubota et al. (column 6, lines 49-67; column 7, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/980,442

Art Unit: 1725

1-67; column 8, lines 1-19; and column 9, lines 25-48). The additional control steps in

the process of Kubota et al. are advantageous for reducing waves (which lead to

inclusions) in the casting of a slab under the flexible control condition of operation

(Kubota et al.; column 3, lines 10-13).

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. The Eriksson, Sawada, Kollberg et al., and Eriksson et al.

references are also cited to show related art.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kevin P. Kerns whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3472. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (703) 308-3318. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7718 for

regular communications and (703) 305-6078 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0661.

KPK

kpk

April 25, 2003

KUANG Y. LIN

GROUP_320

721

Page 7